



Zm AF

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventors: Kai H. Chang et al.
Case: 6-17-28
Application No.: 10/620,068 **Group Art Unit:** 1731
Filed: July 15, 2003
Examiner: Hoffmann, John M
Title: Method and Apparatus for Fabricating Optical Fiber Using Deuterium Exposure

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL OF SECOND CORRECTED APPEAL BRIEF
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 41.37

SIR :

Responsive to a Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (PTOL-462) mailed August 6, 2007, please enter the attached Second Corrected Appeal Brief in the file of the above patent application.

It is not believed that any additional fees are required. Nevertheless, authorization is hereby given to charge Fitel Deposit Account No. 50-2074 for any fees that applicants may have overlooked.


Respectfully,



Michael A. Morra, Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 28975 (770) 798-2040

Docket Administrator - Fitel USA Corp.
2000 Northeast Expressway - Suite 2H-02
Norcross, GA 30071-2906

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to **Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450** on September 4, 2007.



Michael A. Morra

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES



In Re Application of:

K. H. Chang *et al.*

Serial No.: 10/620,068

Filed: July 15, 2003

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS
FOR FABRICATING OPTICAL
FIBER USING DEUTERIUM
EXPOSURE

Examiner: Hoffmann, J.

) Art Unit: 1731

) Docket No.: Chang 6-17-28

) Appeal No.: _____

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SECOND CORRECTED APPEAL BRIEF UNDER 37 CFR § 41.37

This appeal is taken from a Final Office Action, mailed December 8, 2006, on the above-identified patent application wherein claims 1-8 and 10 (*i.e.*, all claims now pending in the application) were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraphs, §102(b) and §103(a). A Notice of Appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences was filed by facsimile on March 5, 2007.

(i) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is Furukawa Electric North America, Inc. (hereinafter "FENA) by change of name from Fitel USA Corp., having a place of business at 2000 Northeast Expressway, Norcross, GA 30071.

(ii) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences.

(iii) STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

The claims on appeal are claims 1-8 and 10. Claim 1 is the only independent claim. The status of all claims in the application is as follows:

Claims 1-8 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103 and 112.

Claim 9 has been canceled.

(iv) STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments have been filed subsequent to the final rejection.

(v) SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The appellants have invented a method for making optical fiber having low transmission loss at 1385 nanometers (nm) and, more particularly, to a method for limiting any increase in the transmission loss at 1385 nm over the life of the fiber.

Referring to appellants' specification and drawing, the method recited by claim 1 includes the steps of: forming a glass core rod by soot deposition (see paragraph 0021, and step 14 of FIG 1); dehydrating the glass core rod (see paragraph 0022, and step 16 of FIG 1); consolidating the glass core rod to form an optical preform (see paragraph 23, and step 18 of FIG 1); and drawing fiber from the preform, wherein the fiber has a transmission loss at 1385 nm that is less than 0.33 dB/km (see paragraphs 0014, 0029; step 22 of FIG 1; and FIG 2B).

The method further recites that the drawn optical fiber is then exposed to an atmosphere containing deuterium at room temperature (see paragraphs 0043 and 0044), and that the partial pressure of the deuterium is between approximately 0.01 atmospheres and 0.05 atmospheres (see paragraphs 0013 and 0044). The time period of deuterium exposure is selected to limit any future hydrogen-aging increase in the transmission loss at 1385 nm to be less than 0.04 dB/km (see paragraphs 0014 and 0044).

(vi) GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

In the FINAL Office action mailed on December 8, 2006, claims 1-8 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,287,427 (*Atkins*); and claims 1-8 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over *Atkins* or U.S. Patent 5,478,371 (*Lemaire*):

Additionally, claims 1-8 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, because:

- (i) the term "Si defects" is indefinite as to meaning;
- (ii) the term "room temperature" is indefinite as to meaning; and
- (iii) the phrase "to limit any future hydrogen-aging increase" is indefinite as to meaning.

Finally, claims 1-8 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, because the phrase "to limit any future hydrogen-aging increase" lacks support in the specification for limiting all possible future increases.

(vii) ARGUMENT

35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-8 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Atkins*, which discloses a method for making an optical component that involves exposing the optical waveguide to hydrogen and irradiating at least a portion of the optical waveguide to change the refractive index of the irradiated portion. The Examiner has indicated that, in *Atkins*, the disclosed hydrogen exposure step (at 208 atmospheres) inherently exposes the optical waveguide to naturally occurring deuterium in the hydrogen (0.015%) at a partial pressure of 0.0312 atmospheres ($208 \times 0.00015 = 0.0312$).

This rejection under §102(b) is respectfully traversed because claim 1 specifically requires that the optical fiber have a transmission loss that is less than 0.33 dB/km at 1385 nm prior to exposure to a deuterium atmosphere and a

future increase of no more than 0.04 dB/km thereafter (*i.e.*, a maximum lifetime loss, which is less than 0.37 dB/km).

It is noted that although claim 1 recites transmission loss at 1385 nm and *Atkins* discloses transmission loss at 1390 nm, appellants are not using this minor difference as a basis for distinction. Rather, appellants respectfully submit that exposing a drawn optical fiber to 208 atmospheres of hydrogen, as disclosed in *Atkins*, will have an effect that is opposite to what is required by claim 1. More specifically, the loss in non-exposed regions of *Atkin's* fiber is 0.001 dB/cm (*i.e.*, 10 dB/km), which is nearly 2 orders of magnitude greater than appellants' required loss of 0.33 dB/km (*Atkins*, at col. 7, line 68). And exposing *Atkins* fiber to an atmosphere containing hydrogen having an inherent concentration of deuterium of only 0.015% assures that hydrogen, rather than deuterium, will primarily fill the Si-defect sites. Not surprisingly, in *Atkins*, optical loss at 1390 nm in the treated area is > 0.1dB/cm (*i.e.*, >10,000 dB/km), which is more than 4 orders of magnitude greater than the applicants' recited optical loss at 1385 nm of 0.37 dB/km (*Atkins*, at col. 7, line 64).

In summary, whereas claim 1 requires that optical transmission loss at 1385 nm be less than 0.33 dB/km prior to deuterium exposure, the fiber disclosed in *Atkins* has an optical transmission loss of 10 dB/km prior to exposure. And whereas claim 1 requires that after deuterium exposure, optical transmission loss at 1385 nm be less than 0.37 dB/km (*i.e.*, 0.33 dB/km + 0.04 dB/km), the fiber disclosed in *Atkins* has a transmission loss increase of 10,000 dB/km after exposure. Accordingly, at no time does *Atkins'* fiber come within the transmission loss requirements of claim 1, and it is respectfully requested that the rejection under §102(b) be reversed.

35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-8 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Atkins* or U.S. Patent 5,478,367 (*Lemaire*).

With respect to *Lemaire*, a method for making a Bragg grating is disclosed in which hydrogen or deuterium exposure enhances the effect of UV radiation for increasing the index of refraction of portions of the grating. The pressures recited by *Lemaire* are in the range 14 – 11,000 psi (i.e., 1 – 750 atmospheres). In contrast, all claims of the present invention require that the partial pressure of deuterium be between approximately 0.01 and 0.05 atmospheres.

Nevertheless, the Examiner states that *Lemaire* discloses a hydrogen pressure, which would have an inherent partial pressure of 0.066 atmospheres of deuterium-containing molecules, and that 0.066 is deemed to be "between approximately 0.01 and 0.05 atmospheres." The Examiner calculates that this partial pressure of deuterium is present in a high pressure hydrogen environment because deuterium has an inherent concentration of 0.015% in a hydrogen atmosphere. Applicants agree with the Examiner that a partial pressure of 0.066 atmospheres is included in the recitation of "approximately 0.01 and 0.05 atmospheres." However, the exposure of optical fiber to an environment containing 99.985% hydrogen and 0.015% deuterium would cause the same increase in transmission loss at 1385 nm as discussed above in connection with *Atkins*. Namely, exposing an optical fiber to an atmosphere containing such a concentration of hydrogen assures that hydrogen, rather than deuterium, primarily fills the Si-defect sites and produces the exact opposite result required by claim 1.

The Examiner has dismissed appellants' arguments regarding *Lemaire* as being irrelevant because, according to the Examiner's reading of claim 1, limiting any future increase to be less than 0.04 dB/km begins immediately after deuterium treatment. Therefore, one could expose the fiber to an extraordinary high level of hydrogen, and incidentally increase the loss at 1385 nm by several orders of magnitude, so that after such exposure the loss increase due to hydrogen aging would be less than 0.04 dB/km. This would be a

bizarre thing to do in a situation where low transmission loss at 1385 nm is desirable. Claim 1 clearly requires that prior to deuterium treatment, optical transmission loss is less than 0.33 dB/km at 1385 nm, and that after deuterium treatment, optical transmission loss is forever less than 0.37 dB/km (*i.e.*, 0.33 dB/km + 0.04 dB/km) at 1385 nm.

As asserted hereinabove, at no time does *Atkins'* fiber come within the transmission loss requirements of claim 1. Consequently, at no time does *Lemaire's* fiber come within the transmission loss requirements of claim 1 because it is the very same fiber disclosed in *Atkins*. In support of this assertion, reference is made to *Atkins*, wherein the only optical fiber disclosed is AT&T's 5D fiber (see *Atkins* at col. 3, lines 41 and 66; and col. 4, line 68). Reference is also made to *Lemaire*, wherein the only optical fiber disclosed is AT&T's 5D fiber (see *Lemaire* at col. 4, line 60; col. 5, lines 14, 31, 54; and col. 6, line 23). This should not be surprising because these patents both share a common parent, namely U.S. Patent No. 5,235,659.

Accordingly, the notion of exposing an optical fiber (whose initial transmission loss is nearly 2 orders of magnitude greater than 0.33 dB/km (required by the present invention) to high pressure hydrogen, which increases optical transmission loss by another 3 orders of magnitude cannot possibly render claim 1 unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

The only remaining argument asserted by the Examiner is that "it would have been obvious to make standard fibers with as low of loss as possible." What the Examiner appears to be saying is that starting with an optical fiber whose transmission loss at 1385 nm is less than 0.33 dB/km, it would have been obvious to expose it to a high pressure hydrogen environment. Immediately thereafter (perhaps one second or less) the transmission loss would have increased by less than the amount required by claim 1 (0.04 dB/km). Therefore the requirement of claim 1 would be satisfied; namely, that any future hydrogen-aging increase in transmission loss at 1385 nm would be less than 0.04 dB/km. The Examiner appears to be interpreting claim 1 as applying to fibers whose transmission loss is greater than 0.37 dB/km after treatment even though the

transmission loss of the fiber might never increase by more than 0.04 dB/km/km after exposure in the future. This argument fails at several levels:

- (1) Claim 1 clearly requires that prior to deuterium treatment, optical transmission loss be less than 0.33 dB/km at 1385 nm; and that after deuterium treatment, optical transmission loss be forever less than 0.37 dB/km at 1385 nm (*i.e.*, 0.33 dB/km + 0.04 dB/km).
- (2) As discussed above, even before high-pressure hydrogen treatment is undertaken in *Atkins* or *Lemaire* for different reasons, optical transmission loss exceed 0.33 dB/km. *Atkins* and *Lemaire* both disclose AT&T's 5D fiber, whose loss at 1385 nm is about 10 dB/km.
- (3) During exposure to high-pressure hydrogen (*i.e.*, an atmosphere that contains 99.985% hydrogen and 0.015% deuterium), hydrogen atoms (not deuterium atoms) overwhelmingly occupy and silicon-defect sites. It is apparent that the transmission loss increases during exposure as the silicon-defect sites are filled by hydrogen atoms. However, one seeking optical transmission loss, which is forever less than 0.37 dB/km at 1385 nm, would never subject the fiber to a high pressure hydrogen environment as disclosed in *Atkins* or *Lemaire* because such treatment demonstrably causes optical transmission loss to be greater 10,000 dB/km!!

35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph because various terms were deemed to be indefinite.

Si-defects

The Examiner has rejected claim 3 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the applicants regard as the invention. More specifically, the Examiner indicates that the term "Si defects" is indefinite as to its meaning. Initially, the Examiner indicates that there is no definition for the term "Si defects." The applicants respectfully note

that that the term is explained with sufficient clarity in the applicants' specification. As discussed in the applicants' paragraph [0010], silicon defects are one of various defects in an optical fiber that react with hydrogen. Additionally, paragraph [0012] identifies certain specific silicon defects Si-O and Si-. When such defects react with hydrogen (undesirable) or deuterium (desirable) they are no longer considered "defects." As discussed above, reaction with hydrogen undesirably leads to increased optical transmission loss at 1385 nm whereas reaction with deuterium does not.

Secondly, the Examiner states that paragraph [0042] of the applicants' specification "discloses that the treatment does not reduce the number of defects, rather it seems to convert them to less harmful defects." The applicants respectfully disagree with such a conclusion. The applicants submit that it is clear from reading the cited paragraphs [0010] that SiD is not a defect because it is no longer free to react with hydrogen. Moreover, SiH is not a defect either. However, the present invention is directed to reacting silicon defects with deuterium to preclude their subsequent reaction with hydrogen.

Room Temperature

The Examiner has stated that the term "room temperature" is indefinite as to its meaning, and cites the everything2.com website for the proposition that room temperature can be from -10° C to 50° C, and that "[o]ne of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to ascertain what temperatures read on the claims and which would not." However, the everything2.com website states that "[p]hysicists usually consider room temperature to be between 21° C and 23° C", and that "the standard room used by chemists can be slightly warmer. Many European chemical data sheets list properties of material at 25° C". Accordingly, there does not appear to be any meaningful confusion among physicists and chemists as to what comprises room temperature. Moreover, the everything2.com website states that "[r]oom temperature refers to the temperature of what is considered to be a 'normal' room. The possibility that *your* room may be just above freezing or a sauna is irrelevant" (boldface emphasis added). Accordingly, the

Examiner's position that room temperatures comprise -10° C (below freezing) and 50° C (above sauna) is not what one of ordinary skill in the art would consider to be a 'normal' room, and is not even supported by the everything2.com reference. (See **EXHIBIT A**, which was cited by the Examiner in a non-final Office Action dated May 30, 2006.)

Appellants submit that the term "room temperature" is not indefinite and is generally understood to cover the range 20 to 25° C as indicated by evidence submitted during prosecution. For example the Condensed Chemical Dictionary, Ninth Edition, defines room temperature as "an interior temperature from 20 to 25° C (68 to 77° F)." (See **EXHIBIT B**, which was cited by Appellants in an Amendment dated October 5, 2006).

In Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, the range 20 to 25° C is generally considered to be room temperature, although comfortable winter and summer room temperatures in rural areas of 16° and 27° C are also mentioned. The Answers.com website approvingly cites the Wikipedia definition of room temperature and further indicates that *The American Heritage® Dictionary* defines room temperature as "[a]n indoor temperature of from 20 to 25° C (68 to 77° F). (See **EXHIBIT C**, which was cited by Appellants in the Amendment dated October 5, 2006).

Any Future Hydrogen-Aging

The Examiner has stated that "[t]he phrase 'to limit any future hydrogen-aging increase' is indefinite as to whether it means that it limits at least one increase - or that it means that there is no manner in which there can be such an increase."

Appellants respectfully submit that claim 1 is not indefinite. Claim 1 requires that after deuterium treatment, transmission loss at 1385 nm will never be greater than 0.37 dB/km (*i.e.*, 0.33 dB/km initially + 0.04 dB/km attributable to hydrogen aging), and it applies to the entire lifetime of the optical fiber – be it a nanosecond or 40 years. As stated in paragraph 0030 of the specification, hydrogen-aging loss refers to the increase in loss during the lifetime of the

optical fiber – and its lifetime begins before deuterium treatment. Nevertheless, to the extent that the claim language is unclear, paragraphs 0014, 0044 and 0046 of the specification state that the "loss increase thereafter is less than 0.04 dB/km." The word "thereafter" clearly applies to any time after its initial loss at 1385 nm was 0.33 dB/km, or less. Indeed, the fundamental teaching of the patent application is that silica glass used in making optical fiber typically has internal defect sites (*i.e.*, Si-defects). If these defect sites are filled by hydrogen atoms, then there will be an undesirable increase in optical transmission loss at 1385 nm. However, if we fill these defect sites with deuterium atoms shortly after the fiber is manufactured, then the defect sites are not subsequently available to hydrogen atoms, and the increase in optical transmission loss at 1385 nm beyond the initial transmission loss of 0.33 dB/km will be less than 0.04 dB/km over the lifetime of the optical fiber. Accordingly, the phrase "to limit any future hydrogen-aging increase" is not indefinite.

In view of the above remarks and evidence, appellants submit that claims 1-8 and 10 are not indefinite, and it is respectfully requested that the Examiner's rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, be reversed.

35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. In particular, the Examiner has stated that the phrase "to limit any future hydrogen-increase in transmission loss ..." lacks support in the specification for limiting all possible future increases. In the FINAL Office Action, dated 12/8/2006, the Examiner states that "[j]ust because applicant used the fiber in a particular environment for a particular length of time and got a loss increase that was no more than 0.04 dB/km, it does not reasonably follow that the fiber could not have a greater loss with some other environment, for an extreme example, in 300 atm of hydrogen, at 800° C for 40 years."

In response, Appellants have not measured the hydrogen-aging loss increase in a deuterium-treated optical fiber in 300 atm of hydrogen, at 800° C

for 40 years and are unable to comment on the Examiner's extreme example. However, the Examiner could just as easily have raised the temperature in his example to be above the melting point of glass in order to make the same point regarding the scope of the word "any."

Nevertheless, the present invention deals with fibers that are designed for commercial use, and it should be understood that the term "any future hydrogen-aging increase in transmission loss" encompasses the most severe environments in which optical fibers are designed to operate, but not those that are beyond the realm of commercial experience. For example, FENA's optical fiber product having the highest-rated operating temperature is designated "GeoFiber," which is only recommended for temperatures up to 300° C. And even though 300° C is a very high temperature, it is far too low to cause hydrogen atoms to replace deuterium atoms, even in a high-pressure hydrogen environment. Accordingly, the term "any future hydrogen aging increase in transmission loss" applies to all environments where optical fibers are designed to operate, but only to those environments.

For the extensive reasons advanced above, Appellants respectfully but forcefully contend that each of claims 1-8 and 10 are patentable. Therefore, appellants respectfully request that the Examiner's FINAL rejection of these claims be reversed by the Board and that the application be allowed to issue.

Respectfully,

K. H. Chang
D. Kalish
T. J. Miller

By 
Michael A. Morra - Attorney for Appellants
Reg. No. 28975
(770) 798-2040

Furukawa Electric North America, Inc.

Date: September 4, 2007

APPENDICES

(viii) CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. A method for making optical fiber, the method comprising the steps of:
forming a glass core rod by soot deposition, the glass core rod having a
core region surrounded by a cladding region;
dehydrating the glass core rod;
consolidating the glass core rod to form an optical fiber preform;
drawing fiber from the optical fiber preform, wherein the drawn optical
fiber has a transmission loss at 1385 nm that is less than 0.33 dB/km; and
exposing the drawn optical fiber to an atmosphere containing deuterium at
room temperature, wherein the partial pressure of deuterium is between
approximately 0.01 and 0.05 atmospheres, and wherein the drawn optical fiber is
exposed to the atmosphere containing deuterium for a time period that is
sufficient to limit any future hydrogen-aging increase in transmission loss at 1385
nm to less than 0.04 dB/km.
2. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the [exposing step further
comprises exposing the drawn optical fiber to a deuterium atmosphere having a]
partial pressure of deuterium is approximately 0.01 atmospheres and the time
period is approximately 6 days.
3. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the exposing step further
comprises exposing the drawn optical fiber to a deuterium atmosphere in such a
way that reduces the amount of Si defects in the fiber.
4. The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising the step of
forming an overclad region around the glass core rod to form an overclad optical
fiber preform, and wherein the drawing step comprises drawing fiber from the
overclad optical fiber preform.
5. The method as recited in claim 4, wherein the overclad region forming
step comprises the steps of:
depositing soot around the glass core rod;

dehydrating the deposited soot; and
consolidating the deposited soot around the glass core rod.

6. The method as recited in claim 5, wherein the soot deposition in the overclad region forming step is selected from the group consisting of vapor axial deposition (VAD) and outside vapor deposition (OVD).

7. The method as recited in claim 4, wherein the overclad region forming step comprises the steps of:

positioning an overclad tube around the glass core rod; and
heating the overclad tube along the length thereof in such a way that the overclad tube collapses onto the glass core rod to form the overclad optical fiber preform.

8. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the soot deposition in the glass core rod forming step is selected from the group consisting of vapor axial deposition (VAD) and outside vapor deposition (OVD).

10. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the partial pressure of deuterium is approximately 0.05 atmospheres and the time period is approximately 1.5 days.

(ix) EVIDENCE APPENDIX

EXHIBIT A: Reference cited by Examiner regarding "Room Temperature" from the everything₂ website.
(Cited by the Examiner in an Office Action dated May 30, 2006.)

EXHIBIT B: Reference cited by Appellants regarding "Room Temperature" from the Condensed Chemical Dictionary
(Cited by Appellants in an Amendment dated October 5, 2006.)

EXHIBIT C: Reference cited by Appellants regarding "Room Temperature" From the Answers.com™ website
(Cited by Appellants in the Amendment dated October 5, 2006.)

(x) RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

(None)

Change Management Program

Training for employees and managers. Clients include 80%+ of Fortune 500. www.pritchett.net.com

Six Sigma & TQM

Get Six Sigma Certified in 8 weeks, 100% online. Free info. www.professional-certificates.com

Employee Performance Mgmt

HR Software to Standardize Employee Reviews. Watch our EPM Video! www.SilkRoadtech.com/Schedule-D

Employee Communica

e-Mail & blog platform for employee communication. www.imninc.com

everything**EXHIBIT "A"****room temperature**(idea) by twofourtysix (5.7 mon) (print)

? 3 CIs

Fri Sep 02 2005 at 23:14:19



Epicenter

Login:

Password:

 remember me [Login](#)
[password reminder](#)[Create a New User](#)

- [Random Node](#)
- [E2 Scratch Pad](#)

[*] **Read Em Or Weep**

- [Quick Start](#)
- [Everything FAQ](#)
- [Voting/Experience System](#)
- [E2 Mentoring Sign-Up](#)

server time

Tuesday, September 4, 2007 at
17:00:18**Random Nodes***Look at this mess the Death Borg made!*[Unix Man](#)[Yizkor](#)[The Edwin Smith Papyrus: Case Twenty](#)[Northern Eagle Claw](#)[Herschel Grynszpan](#)[Yearling](#)[Kid 606](#)[Furthur](#)[Frightless](#)[Chinoiserie](#)[Mount Deception](#)[I'll Be Your Johnny on the Spot](#)**New Writeups**[originalzin](#)[sandfly](#)

(idea)

[santo](#)[Everything south of](#)[Chicago is NOT](#)["Southern Illinois"](#)

(place)

[Hazelnut](#)[Female Metalhead](#)

(person)

[Stereotypes](#)[borgo](#)[printable version](#)[chaos](#)

<u>What would happen to your beer in outer space?</u>	<u>How to keep a Siamese Fighting Fish happy</u>	<u>Steven Wright</u>	<u>What to do with stale bread</u>	<u>I am not gay, I have never been gay.</u> <u>edebroux</u>	(essay)
<u>Quick-chilling a case of beer</u>	<u>temperature</u>	<u>Crème fraîche</u>	<u>McDonald's fries</u>	<u>Harry and the Potters</u> <u>K9</u>	(person)
<u>ambient temperature</u>	<u>Using silica as a weapon</u>	<u>Charleston Chew</u>	<u>St-Emilion</u>	<u>Chelsea Tractor</u> <u>K9</u>	(thing)
<u>in the dark humming hours of the night</u>	<u>Practically Perfect Chocolate Chip Cookies</u>	<u>2 Simple Salsas</u>	<u>Animals that should not have been domesticated</u>	<u>school run</u> <u>Behelit</u> <u>Your girlfriend will never forget how adorable you were the first time you went</u>	(thing)
<u>summer berry pudding</u>	<u>Sweet potato pie</u>	<u>Sulfamic Acid</u>	<u>thermal conductivity</u>	<u>lee_cad</u> <u>Galactic Pot-Healer</u> <u>Lord Brawl</u>	(thing)
<u>Somerset Brie</u>	<u>Jindi Brie</u>	<u>African dwarf frog</u>	<u>hydrocyanic acid</u>	<u>September 4, 2007</u> <u>Samuel Adams</u> <u>Octoberfest</u>	(log)
				<u>eien_meru</u> <u>Children of the Corny 3:</u> <u>Third Time's the Charm!</u>	(dream)
				<u>Lucy-S</u> <u>Sparks and Shadows</u>	(thing)
				<u>4lex</u> <u>General Strike in the USA on September 11,</u>	(event)
				<u>2007</u> <u>K9</u> <u>Why I Hate Being Alive</u>	
				 Vitals	

You know, if you [login](#), you can write something here. You can also [Create a New User](#) if you don't already have an account.

[*] Maintenance

- [Node Title Edit](#)
- [Broken Writeups](#)
- [Writeup Deletion Request](#)
- [Nodeshell Deletion Request](#)
- [Node Heaven](#)

[*] Noding Information

- [E2 HTML Tags](#)
- [HTML symbol reference](#)
- [Using Unicode on E2](#)
- [Reference Desk](#)

[*] Noding Utilities

- [E2 Scratch Pad](#)
- [Scratch Pad Viewer](#)
- [Source Code Formatter](#)
- [Text Formatter](#)

[*] Lists

- [100 Newest Writeups](#)
- [Cool Archive \(C! writeups\)](#)
- [Page of Cool \(Editor Picks\)](#)
- [A Year Ago Today](#)
- [Everything Finger](#)

- [Everything User Search](#)
- [Old News](#)
- [Everything's Most Wanted](#)
- [Your nodeshells](#)
- [Your filled nodeshells](#)
- [Random nodeshells](#)

[*] **Miscellaneous**

- [Voting/Experience System](#)
- [Message Inbox](#)
- [Java Chatterbox](#)
- [chatterlight](#)
- [Gab Central](#)
- [E2 Gift Shop](#)
- [Everything User Poll](#)
- [Everything Quote Server](#)

[Donation Box](#)
[E2 Merchandise](#)

 **Personal Nodes**
You must log in first.

E2 is a by-product of the existence of [The Everything Development Company](#)

EXHIBIT "B"

The
Condensed Chemical
Dictionary

NINTH EDITION

Revised by

GESSNER G. HAWLEY

*Coeditor, Encyclopedia of Chemistry
Coauthor, Glossary of Chemical Terms*



VAN NOSTRAND REINHOLD COMPANY
NEW YORK CINCINNATI ATLANTA DALLAS SAN FRANCISCO
LONDON TORONTO MELBOURNE

Van Nostrand Reinhold Company Regional Offices:
New York Cincinnati Atlanta Dallas San Francisco

Van Nostrand Reinhold Company International Offices:
London Toronto Melbourne

Copyright © 1977 by Litton Educational Publishing, Inc.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 76-19024
ISBN: 0-442-23240-3

All rights reserved. Certain portions of this work copyright © 1930, 1920, 1919 by The Chemical Catalog Co., Inc. and 1971, 1966, 1956, 1950 by Litton Educational Publishing, Inc. No part of this work covered by the copyright hereon may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems—with permission of the publisher.

Manufactured in the United States of America

Published by Van Nostrand Reinhold Company
135 West 50th Street, New York, N.Y. 10020

Published simultaneously in Canada by Van Nostrand Reinhold Ltd.

15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Main entry under title:

The Condensed chemical dictionary.

I. Chemistry—Dictionaries. I. Hawley, Gessner
Goodrich, 1905-
QDS.C5 1976 540'.3 76-19024
ISBN 0-442-23240-3

"Rodine."³⁴² Trademark for red squill liquid extract rodenticides.

"Rodo."⁶⁹ Trademark for a series of blended essential oils used to deodorize rubber.

Roentgen, W. K. (1845–1923). German physicist who discovered x-rays in 1895 for which he was awarded the Nobel Prize in 1901. Application of these to a number of important problems in analytical chemistry was developed by the Braggs, Moseley, von Laue, and Debye and Sherrer.

roentgen (r). The international unit of quantity or dose for both x-rays and gamma rays. It is defined as the quantity of x- or gamma rays which will produce as a result of ionization one electrostatic unit of electricity of either sign in 1 cc (0.001293 g) of dry air as measured at 0°C and standard atmospheric pressure. The use of the roentgen unit has been extended to include particle radiation such as alpha and beta particles and protons and neutrons. See also rad, curie.

Rohrbach solution.

Properties: Clear, yellow liquid. Very refractive; sp. gr. 3.5.

Derivation: An aqueous solution of mercuric barium iodide.

Hazard: Highly toxic by ingestion and inhalation.

Uses: Separating minerals by their specific gravity; microchemical detection of alkaloids.

"Romark."⁴⁴⁸ Trademark for alkyd and chlorinated rubber type road-marking paints.

ronnel. Generic name for O,O-dimethyl O-(2,4,5 trichlorophenyl) phosphorothioate, $(\text{CH}_3\text{O})_2\text{P}(\text{S})\text{OC}_6\text{H}_2\text{Cl}_3$.

Properties: Powder or granules; m.p. 41°C. Insoluble in water; soluble in most organic solvents.

Hazard: Toxic by ingestion and inhalation. Tolerance, 10 mg per cubic meter of air. Cholinesterase inhibitor. Use may be restricted.

Use: Pesticide.

Shipping regulations: (Rail, Air) Organic phosphate, solid, n.o.s., Poison label. Not accepted on passenger planes.

"Ronopole" Oil.¹⁶⁵ Trademark for highly oxidized sulfonated castor oil.

room temperature. An interior temperature from 20 to 25°C (68 to 77°F).

"Roracyl."²⁸ Trademark for a group of soluble dyes that have good affinity and fastness properties on leather.

"Rosaldehyde."¹⁸⁸ Trademark for a synthetic floral perfume base.

rosaniline $\text{HOC}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_3)\text{NH}_2$. A triphenylmethane dye.

Properties: Reddish brown crystals; m.p. 186°C (dec.). Soluble in acids and alcohol; slightly soluble in water.

Hazard: May be toxic.

Uses: Dye (usually as the hydrochloride); fungicide.

"Rosanlik."¹⁸⁸ Trademark for a synthetic replacement of otto of rose.

roscoelit $\text{K}_2\text{V}_x\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4$. A vanadium-bearing species of mica (q.v.). Formula variable, with V_2O_5 up to 28%. Occurs as minute scales with micaceous cleavage; dark green to brown in color; pearly luster. Mohs hardness 2.5; sp. gr. 3.0.

Occurrence: Colorado, California, Australia.

Use: Source of vanadium.

rose absolute. Pure oil of rose. The first filtrate obtained on separation of waxes from the cooled alcohol solution of rose concrete in perfume manufacture.

rose concrete. Semisolid residue, a mixture of essential oils and waxes, resulting from extraction of rose flower petals, leaves, seeds, fruit, roots, gums or bark by means of a volatile solvent.

rose oil (otto of rose oil; attar of roses; rose flower oil) Properties: Pale yellow, pale green, or pale red, transparent, essential oil; mild, sweet taste; strong, fragrant odor; semi-solid at room temperature. Sp. gr. 0.845–0.865; solidifying point 18–37°C; saponification value 10–17; acid value 0.5–3; refractive index (n_{30/D}) 1.457–1.463. Combustible; nontoxic. Chief constituents: Geraniol, citronellol and phenylethyl alcohol.

Derivation: By steam distillation of the fresh flowers of *Rosa damascena*, *Rosa centifolia*, *Rosa gallica* and *Rosa alba*.

Grades: Bulgarian; French; Turkish; N.F.; F.C.C.

Uses: Perfumes; flavoring.

"Rosetone."¹⁹ Trademark for trichloromethylphenylcarbinyl acetate (q.v.).

rosewood oil. See oil bois de rose.

rosin

Properties: Angular, translucent, amber-colored fragments; sp. gr. 1.08; m.p. 100–150°C; acid no. not less than 150. Flash point 370°F. Insoluble in water; freely soluble in alcohol, benzene, ether, glacial acetic acid, oils, carbon disulfide, dilute solutions of fixed alkali hydroxides. Low toxicity. Hard and friable at room temperature; soft and very sticky when warm. Combustible.

Chief constituents: Resin acids of the abietic and pinmaric types, having the general formula $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{29}\text{COOH}$, and having a phenanthrene nucleus. See also turpentine.

Derivation: From pine trees, chiefly *Pinus palustris* and *Pinus caribaea*. (a) Gum rosin is the residue obtained after the distillation of turpentine oil from the oleoresin tapped from living trees. (b) Wood rosin is obtained by extracting pine stumps with naphtha and distilling off the volatile fraction. (c) Tall oil rosin is a byproduct of the fractionation of tall oil (q.v.).

Grades: Virgin; yellow dip; hard; N.F. Wood rosin is grades B, C, D, E, F, FF, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, W-G (window-glass), W-W (water-white). The grading is done by color, B being the darkest and W-W the lightest.

Containers: Drums; multi-wall paper bags.

Uses: Hot-melt and pressure-sensitive adhesives; mastics and sealants; varnishes; ester gum; soldering compounds; core oils; insulating compounds; soaps; paper sizing, printing inks; polyesters (formed by reaction of the conjugated acids of rosin with acrylic acid, followed by reaction with a glycol). See also abietic acid.

rosin oil.

Properties: Water-white to brown liquid; viscous; odorless; strong, peculiar taste. Soluble in ether, chloroform, fatty oils and carbon disulfide; slightly soluble in alcohol; insoluble in water. Essentially decarboxylated rosin acids. Sp. gr. 0.980–1.110; iodine number 112–115.

Derivation: By fractional distillation of rosin, that portion distilling above 360°C being rosin oil.

Containers: Drums; tank cars.

Hazard: Spontaneous heating; fire risk when heated.

room temperature


Dictionary

 American Heritage®
dic·tion·ar·ies

room temperature
n. (Abbr. RT)



An indoor temperature of from 20 to 25°C (68 to 77°F).



WordNet



Note: click on a word meaning below to see its connections and related words.

The *noun* room temperature has one meaning:

Meaning #1: the normal temperature of room in which people live

Wikipedia



room temperature

For the novel, see [Room Temperature \(novel\)](#).

Room temperature describes a certain temperature within enclosed space that is used for various purposes by human beings. It can also refer to a temperature of food to be consumed (e.g. red wine) which is placed in such a room for a given time. Furthermore, it may refer to a certain temperature within settings of scientific experiments and calculations.

Human comfort and health

For human comfort, desirable room temperature greatly depends on individual needs and various other factors. According to the West Midlands Public Health Observatory (UK)^[1],

21 °C (70 °F) is the recommended living room temperature, whereas **18 °C (64 °F)** for bedroom temperature. A study carried out at the University of Uppsala (Sweden)^[2], on indoor air quality and subjective indoor air quality (SIAQ) in primary schools, states that perception of high room temperature was related to a poor climate of cooperation. To achieve a good SIAQ, it recommends room temperature should be at a maximum of **22 °C (72 °F)**.

Scientific calculations

* | For scientific calculations, room temperature is taken to be roughly 20 to 25 degrees Celsius with an average of 23 °C, about 72.5 degrees Fahrenheit (°F), 528 to 537 degrees Rankine (°R), or 293 to 298 kelvin (K)^[3]. For numerical convenience, either 20 °C or 300 K is often used. However, room temperature is *not* a precisely defined scientific term as opposed to Standard Temperature & Pressure.

Condition for physical experiments

The progress and results of many scientific and industrial processes depends a little or not at all on the temperature of the surroundings of the equipment. For example, a measurement of the charge of the electron does not depend upon the temperature of the test equipment. In such cases if any mention of temperature is made, it is customary and sufficient to speak simply of "room temperature", which essentially implies simply that what is being spoken of has not been specifically heated or cooled. Usually this means a temperature at which many people are comfortable, around 20 °C. In most cases considerable temperature variations are irrelevant; work may be carried out in winter or summer without heating or air-conditioning, without mention of the temperature. However, productivity is dependent on thermal comfort.

* | The phenomena that researchers may choose to study at room temperature can naturally occur in the range of 20 to 25 °C, or they may not. Researchers will choose to study a process outside its natural temperature range when they expect the conclusions to a specific question to be the same at room temperature as at a more natural temperature.

Experimentalists have an advantage in anticipating aspects of a room-temperature experiment, because the temperature is close to 25 °C (77 °F, 537 °R, 298 K), at which many of the material properties and physical constants in standards tables have been measured (more at standard state). By consulting such tables a researcher may estimate, for example, how fast a chemical reaction is likely to proceed at room temperature.

Unless there is a reason to work at a specific temperature, it is clearly more convenient not to control the temperature. Even in cases where a known, controlled, temperature is advantageous but not essential, work may be carried out at room temperature. But, for example, very large, warehouse-type experimental facilities may lack sufficient heating and cooling capabilities to maintain 'room temperatures'.



If it is believed that work which may have some dependence upon temperature has been carried out at temperatures significantly outside the range 20 to 25 °C, it may be reported that it was carried out at an ambient temperature of some approximate specified value.

An assumed typical ambient temperature may be used for general calculations; for example, the thermal efficiency of a typical internal combustion engine may be given as approximately 25%, with no mention of the air temperature: the actual efficiency will depend to some extent on ambient temperature, decreasing in extremely hot weather conditions due to lower air density.

Ambient vs. room temperature

Being an even less precise specification than "room temperature", "ambient temperature" is more certain to be accurate. Because scientists strive for accuracy in their reports, many use this specification exclusively just as a matter of course, even to describe experiments that they could justifiably characterize as having been conducted at room temperature.

This is a nebulous issue, depending upon the language used. In many languages, for example Spanish, there is no expression for "room", as distinct from "ambient", temperature.

Arguably, no precision is lost in this practice: in disciplines where experimenters always work in laboratories, and where temperature differences of a few degrees make little difference with regard to the questions that scientists ask, the distinction between ambient and room temperature literally is not worth making. And, of course, the ambient temperature of a room is usually room temperature.

Yet small temperature differences have large effects on many natural processes. Therefore scientists who do observe a distinction between the two specifications may be sticklers about which one to apply. For example, heat given off by electronics or motors may warm the area around an experiment relative to the rest of a room. Under such circumstances, and depending on the question under investigation, some scientists would consider it inaccurate to report that an experiment took place at room temperature.

References

1. ^ Why more people die in the winter, by Michelle Roberts, Health reporter, BBC News [1]
2. ^ Dan Norbäck (1995) Subjective Indoor Air Quality in Schools - The Influence of High Room Temperature, Carpeting, Fleecy Wall Materials and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Indoor Air 5(4), 237-246. [2]
3. ^ The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition.

See also

- [Temperature](#)
- [Psychrometrics — the study of moist air](#)
- [Standard Temperature & Pressure \(STP\)](#)

This entry is from Wikipedia, the leading user-contributed encyclopedia. It may not have been reviewed by professional editors (see [full disclaimer](#))

[Donate to Wikimedia](#)

Copyrights:



[“Cite”](#)

Dictionary definition of **room temperature**

The [American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition](#) Copyright © 2007, 2000 by [Houghton Mifflin Company](#). Updated in 2007. Published by Houghton Mifflin Company. All rights reserved. More from [Dictionary](#)



[“Cite”](#)

WordNet information about **room temperature**

WordNet 1.7.1 Copyright © 2001 by Princeton University. All rights reserved. More from [WordNet](#)



[“Cite”](#)

Wikipedia information about **room temperature**

This article is licensed under the [GNU Free Documentation License](#). It uses material from the [Wikipedia article "Room temperature"](#). More from [Wikipedia](#)